

4900 Dobbins Bridge Road Anderson, SC 29626

Grades K-5 Elementary School

Enrollment 634 Students

PrincipalJanet Mills864-716-36--SuperintendentBetty Bagley864-260-5000Board ChairRick Bradshaw864-224-5502

2013 REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD

| YEAR | ABSOLUTE RATING | GROWTH RATING |
|------|-----------------|---------------|
| 2013 | Good | At-Risk |
| 2012 | Good | Average |
| 2011 | Good | Average |
| 2010 | Average | Good |
| 2009 | Average | Average |
| | | |

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov


Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating

Percent of students tested in 2012-13 whose 2011-12 test scores were located

97.6%

| ABOOLOTE INTINGO OF ELEMENTARY CONCOLO WITH CHORESTINE CONC | | | | | | |
|---|------|---------|---------------|---------|--|--|
| Excellent | Good | Average | Below Average | At-Risk | | |
| 21 | 44 | 52 | 0 | 0 | | |

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 12/14/2013.



^{*} Elementary schools with Students Like Ours are elementary schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the school.

| Definition of Critical Terms | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Exemplary | "Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard. | | | |
| Met | "Met" means the student met the grade level standard. | | | |
| Not Met | "Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard. | | | |

School Profile

| SONOS PTONIO | Our School | Change from Last Year | Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours | Median Elementary School |
|--|------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| Students (n=634) | | | | |
| First graders who attended full-day kindergarten | 100.0% | No Change | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Retention rate | 2.0% | Up from 1.7% | 1.3% | 0.9% |
| Attendance rate | 95.4% | Down from 95.9% | 96.2% | 96.3% |
| Served by gifted and talented program | 6.4% | N/A | 7.8% | 7.2% |
| With disabilities | 16.5% | N/A | 13.7% | 12.4% |
| Older than usual for grade | 1.4% | N/A | 2.3% | 1.9% |
| Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses | 0.0% | No Change | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Teachers (n=42) | | | | |
| Teachers with advanced degrees | 64.3% | Up from 61.0% | 62.5% | 62.5% |
| Continuing contract teachers | 85.7% | Down from 95.1% | 85.6% | 83.3% |
| Teachers returning from previous year | 88.2% | Up from 87.8% | 89.2% | 88.3% |
| Teacher attendance rate | 94.5% | N/R | 95.0% | 95.0% |
| Average teacher salary* | \$46,382 | Up 0.0% | \$48,580 | \$48,193 |
| Professional development days/teacher | 14.8 days | Up from 10.9 days | 11.2 days | 11.0 days |
| School | | | | |
| Principal's years at school | 9.0 | Up from 8.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 |
| Student-teacher ratio in core subjects | 20.5 to 1 | Down from 20.6 to 1 | 20.2 to 1 | 20.1 to 1 |
| Prime instructional time | 89.1% | N/R | 89.9% | 90.0% |
| Opportunities in the arts | Good | No Change | Good | Good |
| SACS accreditation | Yes | No Change | Yes | Yes |
| Parents attending conferences | 100.0% | Up from 99.6% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Character development program | Excellent | No Change | Excellent | Excellent |
| Dollars spent per pupil** | \$6,213 | Up 1.5% | \$7,086 | \$7,364 |
| Percent of expenditures for instruction** | 69.0% | Down from 69.1% | 68.0% | 68.0% |
| Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** | 68.0% | Down from 68.7% | 66.0% | 66.0% |

^{*} Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

^{**} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

McLees Academy of Leadership is an outstanding school located on the west side of Anderson, South Carolina that creates a wonderful learning environment. We are recognized in our district as a magnet school of leadership. We continued to train our staff in leadership skills based on Stephen Covey and continued implementation with our students, families, and community. McLees had an average enrollment of 650 students and 82 staff and faculty members. We house grades K-5 in regular and special education classrooms. The faculty, staff, and administration work together as a strong team with common goals. We are committed to providing a quality instructional program that is tailored for each child in a safe school environment.

Our school received a report card rating of good in 2012. We are a Red Carpet and a Schools of Promise school. We are recipients of two state awards: Literacy Spot Award which recognizes outstanding emergent literacy programs in primary schools, and Exemplary Writing Award sponsored by the South Carolina Department of Education based on an extensive evaluation of a school's instructional programs, with a particular emphasis on writing. We are also recognized as a Leader In Me School through Covey. This year we are proud to have achieved status as a Franklin Covey Lighthouse Leadership School. We were one of 39 in the world when we earned our status in March! Instructional programs at McLees included computer assisted instruction, Early Reading Intervention, Daily Calendar Math, small group math instruction, Reading Recovery, Thinking Maps, Quality Tools and balanced literacy. Each class set academic and personal goals and tracked progress through the use of scoreboards. Students tracked personal progress through data notebooks and held student led conferences to explain progress to parents. Staff development sessions included guided reading. math, technology, Science kits, leadership, and data analysis. Other activities included a Chorus, Post Office, Publishing Center, School News Show, Leadership Marches, Recycling, and Open House.

Our school's constant challenge is to ensure that all students demonstrate competency in reading, math. science, and social studies, that they attend school regularly, that they are safe and healthy, and that they demonstrate leadership and character in their personal and academic lives.

At McLees Academy of Leadership we are dedicated to our students and eagerly accept the challenge of guiding them as they become responsible, independent learners in a developmentally appropriate environment. Diversity is appreciated, and family and community are involved as partners in this process!

Principal-Janet Mills SIC Chair-Mrs. Shannon Sheriff

| Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| | Teachers | Students* | Parents* | | | | |
| Number of surveys returned | 43 | 91 | 60 | | | | |
| Percent satisfied with learning environment | 100% | 86.8% | 90% | | | | |
| Percent satisfied with social and physical environment | 97.7% | 88.1% | 88.2% | | | | |
| Percent satisfied with school-home relations | 88.4% | 92.5% | 81.4% | | | | |

Only students at the highest elementary school grade level and their parents were included.

ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System

In July 2013, the South Carolina Department of Education was granted a waiver from several accountability requirements of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). This waiver allowed SC to replace the former pass/fail system with one that utilizes more of the statewide assessments already in place and combine these subject area results with graduation rate (in high schools) to determine if each school met the target or made progress toward the target. This analysis results in a letter grade for the school rather than the pass/fail system of previous years. For a detailed review of the matrix for each school and districts that determined the letter grade, please use the following link: http://ed.sc.gov/data/esea/ or request this information from your child's district or school.

| Overall Weighted Points Total | 96.4 |
|-------------------------------|------|
| Overall Grade Conversion | A |

| Index Score | Grade | Description |
|--------------|-------|---|
| 90-100 | Α | Performance substantially exceeds the state's expectations. |
| 80-89.9 | В | Performance exceeds the state's expectations. |
| 70-79.9 | С | Performance meets the state's expectations. |
| 60-69.9 | D | Performance does not meet the state's expectations. |
| Less than 60 | F | Performance is substantially below the state's expectations |

| | chool |
|---|-------|
| | ı |
| o | |

| Mal | ees Aradem | v of L | adorchin | cohool has | hoon | docionatod | 20.2 |
|-----|------------|--------|----------|------------|------|------------|------|

| | Title I Reward School for Performance - among the highest performing Title I schools in a given year. |
|--------------|---|
| | Title I Reward School for Progress – one of the schools with substantial progress in student subgroups. |
| | Title I Focus School – one of the schools with the highest average performance gap between subgroups. |
| | Title I Priority School – one of the 5% lowest performing Title I schools. |
| | Title I School – does not qualify as Reward, Focus or Priority School. |
| \checkmark | Non-Title I School – therefore the designations above are not applicable. |

| Teacher Quality and Student Attendance | | |
|---|--------------|-------|
| | Our District | State |
| Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers | 1.8% | 2.3% |
| Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers | 0.0% | 4.9% |

| | Our School | State Objective | Met State Objective |
|---|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers | 0.0% | 0.0% | Yes |
| Student attendance rate | 95.4% | 94.0%* | Yes |

^{*} Or greater than last year

| McLees Academy of Leadership 12/14/13-0405062 | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Performance By Group | | | | | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Mean | Math Mean | Science Mean | Social Studies Mean | ELA % Tested | Math % Tested |
| Grades 3-5 | | | | | | |
| All Students | 669.2 | 658.7 | 631.7 | 653.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Male | 663.8 | 661.1 | 628.2 | 658.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Female | 674.9 | 656.2 | 635.3 | 648.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| White | 675.1 | 663.4 | 641.3 | 653.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| African American | 649.3 | 639.5 | 604.3 | 647.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Hispanic | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| American Indian/Alaskan | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Disabled | 630.8 | 622.9 | 604.3 | 624.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Limited English Proficient | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Subsidized meals | 661.2 | 652.7 | 625.1 | 644.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) | 635.0 | 635.0 | 635.0 | 635.0 | 95.0 | 95.0 |

| Michael Address Addres | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| PASS Performance By Grade Level | | | | | | | |
| | Grade | Enrollment 1st Day of Testing | % Tested | % Not Met | % Met | % Exemplary | % Met or Exemplary |
| | | | Englisl | n/Language A | irts | | |
| | 3 | 109 | 100 | 15.7 | 16.7 | 67.6 | 84.3 |
| 2 | 4 | 114 | 100 | 21.1 | 35.8 | 43.1 | 78.9 |
| 2012 | 5 | 132 | 99.2 | 25.4 | 45.2 | 29.4 | 74.6 |
| 70 | 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 102 | 100 | 14.4 | 20 | 65.6 | 85.6 |
| 33 | 4 | 108 | 100 | 19.4 | 39.8 | 40.8 | 80.6 |
| 2013 | 5 | 108 | 100 | 13.9 | 44.6 | 41.6 | 86.1 |
| 7 | 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | M | lathematics | | | |
| | 3 | 109 | 100 | 16.7 | 23.5 | 59.8 | 83.3 |
| 2 | 4 | 114 | 100 | 11.9 | 47.7 | 40.4 | 88.1 |
| 2012 | 5 | 132 | 99.2 | 29.4 | 41.3 | 29.4 | 70.6 |
| 2(| 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 102 | 100 | 20 | 27.8 | 52.2 | 80 |
| 3 | 4 | 108 | 100 | 16.5 | 52.4 | 31.1 | 83.5 |
| 2013 | 5 6 | 108 | 100 | 20.8 | 40.6 | 38.6 | 79.2 |
| 2 | | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | | Science | | | |
| | 3 | 55 | 100 | 21.6 | 43.1 | 35.3 | 78.4 |
| 2 | 4 | 111 | 99.1 | 21.9 | 56.2 | 21.9 | 78.1 |
| 2012 | 5 6 | 63 | 100 | 30 | 45 | 25 | 70 |
| 7 | | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A 81.3 |
| | 3 | 53 | 96.2 | 18.8 | 43.8 | 37.5 | 81.3 |
| 2013 | 4 | 108 | 100 | 23.3 | 61.2 | 15.5 | 76.7 |
| 9 | 5 | 55 N/A | 100 | 28 | 48 | 24 | 72 N/A |
| 2 | 5 6 7 | N/A N/A | N/AV N/AV | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | N/A N/A |
| | 8 | N/A N/A | N/AV N/AV | | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | N/A N/A |
| | 0 | IN/A | IN/AV | N/A | IN/A | IN/A | IN/A |

| DACC | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------|-----------|-------|-------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| PASS Performance By Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Grade | Enrollment 1st Day of Testing | % Tested | % Not Met | % Met | % Exemplary | % Met or Exemplary | | | |
| | Social Studies | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3 | 55 | 98.2 | 13.5 | 34.6 | 51.9 | 86.5 | | | |
| 2 | 4 | 112 | 100 | 15 | 48.6 | 36.4 | 85 | | | |
| 2012 | 5 | 69 | 98.6 | 34.8 | 33.3 | 31.8 | 65.2 | | | |
| 5 (| 5 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| | 3 | 50 | 96 | 4.7 | 34.9 | 60.5 | 95.3 | | | |
| 3 | 4 | 108 | 100 | 17.5 | 49.5 | 33 | 82.5 | | | |
| 2013 | 5 | 53 | 100 | 19.6 | 49 | 31.4 | 80.4 | | | |
| 2 | 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| Writing | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| 2 | 4 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| 2012 | 5 | 133 | 94 | 28.6 | 41.2 | 30.3 | 71.4 | | | |
| 5 (| 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| | 3 | 103 | 96.1 | 30.7 | 30.7 | 38.6 | 69.3 | | | |
| 3 | 4 | 109 | 97.3 | 27.7 | 39.6 | 32.7 | 72.3 | | | |
| 2013 | 5 | 106 | 94.3 | 21.6 | 40.2 | 38.1 | 78.4 | | | |
| 2 | 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |